

School Combined School Annual Education Results Report & Three Year Plan



2019-2020

"Every Student, Every Day, A Succe	.ess:
------------------------------------	-------

Our "Everyday 4" Focus	Goals:	Strategies:	Data:	Evidence:	Budget Connection (amount and description):
Welcoming and	All students from ECS	Continue to teach and model our behaviour matrix.	Participation in school	• Full school events are consistently	- Access SGF and donations.
•	through grade 12 will	• Continuing to host events that foster a positive school climate	related events	occurring and participation/attendance	- Students Union raises money to help
Caring	report that they feel safe;	and healthy relationships (such as school wide pancake		at events is high	support some of the activities.
•	fellow students treat them	breakfast and picnic, Orange and Pink Shirt Day, Hat's On for	Accountability Surveys (See	 Behaviour matrix visible on walls 	
	with respect and that there	mental health, HSSU turkey supper, reading buddies,	appendix A)	throughout the school and teachers	
	are positive	Students' Union theme days - incorporating all students and		and students use those plans to help	
	teacher/student	staff)		guide student behaviour.	
	relationships for all	● Continue to utilize a Collaborative Response Model (CRM) to	Number of behavior	 Our accountability pillar survey data 	
	students.	identify students that are struggling and implement strategies	referrals	will maintain very high rating with	
		and interventions for support.		respect to students demonstrating	
	Teachers will continue to	Continue to encourage students to feel welcomed and	Attendance data indicates a	active citizenship, attitudes and	
	develop foundational	engaged in their learning by using appropriate interventions	decline in tardiness rate	behaviours that will make them	
	knowledge about First	and scaffolding their learning.		successful when they are done school,	
	Nations, Metis and Inuit	• Staff will provide opportunities for different grade levels to		that they are safe at school and that	
	and begin to apply it for	work together (eg. Reading Buddies). HSSU implement		they understand the importance of	
	the benefit of all students.	projects with each elementary class.		caring for others (see Appendix A).	
		Productions from Lougheed Center		Collaboration meetings	
		Devote time at Staff meetings and PD Days to help build		Staff will feel confident in their	
		foundational knowledge of all staff related to First Nation,		foundational knowledge around First	
		Metis, and Inuit		Nation, Metis and Inuit	
		• Prepare staff for TQS related to First Nation, Metis, and Inuit		Teachers will use the collaborative	
		Benchmark Aboriginal Students that have self-identified and		response model and implement	
		begin conversations around how to best support them in their		strategies around how to Indigenize the	
		academics and sense of belonging.		supports	
		Use of Live Binder		● PD Day agendas show work around	
		● Look at ways to create more CRM time to allow weekly		building foundational knowledge of	
		meeting and discussion for teams of teachers to occur.		First Nation, Metis and Inuit topics	
		• Utilize Zones of Regulation presentations and program to			
		teach students coping and resiliency (FSLW and MHCB)			

- Students are beginning to develop self-regulation skills through work with mental health capacity building programs
- Students applying self-regulating skills they have learned to help other students and explaining deep breathing concepts to their parents.
- High participation rate in special school events
- Students have learned to take ownership over their learning
- Growth Mindset concept can be seen in all subject areas
- Fewer behavior issues during each recess.

- JH leadership team presenting the behaviour matrix to elementary students
- Young Writer's group and book club
- Pep Rallies
- Increase in teacher/student relationships
- Food bank donations
- Concession support from parents
- Games room

• Students are excited to be at school and willing to learn Through quality •Intentional implementation of cross curricular literacy by EYE TA Data - ECS Collaborative planning by division Release time allotted for staff/Sub Literacy programming, and with the utilizing staff collaboration and co-planning. (Huge time allocated to conduct (CRM) will occur and be used to support and evidence of this already in our HS grade 10 humanities BAS Data - Grades 1 - 3 analyze results and plan assessments implementation of the class.) interventions and transitions into Exploring timetable options for next numerous literary tools Staff will provide positive modeling of reading/literacy school year that provides 30/day for Classroom assessments the next school year. and resources, all students and stress its importance in all courses. students that require literacy Baseline data will be collected on all will demonstrate one or • Utilize BRSD literacy framework DORA/Jerry Jones students using EYE, BAS, Jerry Johns, intervention in grades 1 - 3. more years of growth in • Utilize intervention strategies such as JH literacy classes Utilize literacy lead teacher. and DORA. Progress will be literacy during the • Utilize early interventions and supportive technology Teacher measured with the expectation of at 2019-2020 school year.

observation/assessment

Achievement Test Data

Diploma Exam Data (See

(See Appendix E)

Appendix D)

See Appendix B

 Use of collaborative days and school PD days to discuss successes, challenges and next steps to enhance literacy.

where needed, including chromebooks, laptops, Ipads

Extensions, Apps, We Video, and Podcasts to enhance

and the use of Google suite tools - classroom, Docs,

• Utilize Literacy kits to provide appropriate interventions/reading levels for students

student learning.

• Utilize a Balanced Literacy model at all levels to help build reading and writing skills.

•Increase student and staff use of Read and Write for Google to enhance student learning and engagement. (Universal Supports)

 Utilize literacy lead teacher to showcase strategies around building literacy foundational skills (eg. improving vocabulary)

least 1 year of growth/student.

• DORA/ Jerry Johns data will show improvement by indicating students moving up levels.

• School Collaborative Response Model guides conversations around support students.

 Intentional collection of data on concerns and interventions created and used by staff.

• Growth in extra-curricular young writers group.

•School Pyramid of Interventions is created collaboratively by staff.

Success Stories:

- Huge gain in some students struggling to read and write. Now at grade level
- ELI!!! When given daily and effectively
- Book Fair and Literacy Night- Reading with Kodiaks
- •Those that have received early intervention have gained significant progress
- Reluctant readers have shown more interest in reading and writing
- Young Writers group
- •We have seen improvement with our challenged students when it comes to reading and writing.
- Cross-graded work between JH students and elementary students has been extremely positive experience for all.
- Greater Enjoyment for reading. We see students reading before school, during breaks, etc. and are enjoying reading
- •Literacy Enrichment program in JH and Life Skills program has been a huge success
- Huge evidence of cross curricular literacy utilizing staff collaboration and co-planning already in our HS grade 10 humanities class.

Numeracy Through quality programming, and with the	•Staff attendance at Math cohorts and implementation of ideas in meaningful, authentic lessons (variety,	MIPI data - Grades 2 to 9	MIPI baseline data on students will be collected. Progress will be	 Utilize numeracy lead teachers as necessary.
support and implementation of numeracy tools and resources, all students will demonstrate one or more years of growth in numeracy during the 2019-2020 school year.	 differentiated instruction) Use MIPI data for early identification, intervention and support (have focused activities based on areas students struggle with) Use of supportive technology where needed Staff engaged in collaborative work on MIPI data and discussing strategies and interventions Sharing of best practices from numeracy cohorts Devoting staff meeting time for numeracy lead teacher to provide support and training to all teachers. Utilize numeracy lead teacher to showcase strategies around building numeracy foundational skills and the many resources available to teachers Look at including tasks on assessments that include higher level thinking 	Achievement Test Data (See Appendix E) Diploma Exam Data (See Appendix D) See Appendix B	measured with the expectation of decreasing the number of students that are scoring below the benchmark (60%) each year. • MIPI data will show improvement by indicating students moving up levels. • Collaborative planning by division (CRM) will occur and be used to analyze results and plan interventions and transitions into the next school year. • School Pyramid of Interventions is created collaboratively by staff. • Intentional collection of data on concerns and interventions created and used by staff.	Use of Tech Team to help with professional development as necessary.

Success Stories:

- Starting classes with "Math Talks" to encourage engagement and enjoyment for math.
 Intrinsic motivation of students to master outcomes.
- Cross curricular applications in other subjects.
- Numeracy talks are now part of every staff meeting
- Increase in collaboration amongst teachers
- Students are indicating a love for math

- Numeracy cohorts have been established to build and guide numeracy effectiveness.
 Math is looked at in a different scope, as part of everyday life
- Students are doing the talking

Teaching and	All students will	Optimize access/meaningful authentic use of	Accountability pillar	• Evidence from student work will	• Request to BEST to support
Learning (21st	demonstrate digital literacy, regularly apply	Chromebooks and assistive technology tools where required.	survey data (see Appendix C)	demonstrate increased skill level/confidence with devices	presentations. • Access staff expertise to train and
_ ,	21st century skills and	•Students use technology effectively, creatively and wisely	Арреник сј	Our accountability pillar survey data	support use of technology
Century)	recognize this as essential to support their learning.	 to support their learning. Google platform (G suite) will become primary platform and staff and students will become comfortable with its use. Transition all personal drives and staff drives to Google drives. Introduce Chromecast and Chromecast for Education to teachers Access student voice through SAVY and Wellness Team to determine students' educational needs. Staff will provide input into Three Year Plan by sharing best practices from professional learning series. Look at bringing in presenters to share knowledge and experience around being educated in the digital world. 	SAVY students' forum	will maintain very high rating with respect to students indicating they have access to a broad program of studies, that the school and jurisdiction has improved, that they are involved in decision making and that they are satisfied with the overall quality of basic education. SAVY forum will indicate that students feel they have input into decisions regarding their education. Leadership class will continue to use student questionnaire as a way to	Support use of technology
		 Assessment presentation to start school year and set assessment as a priority. Students will be encouraged to meet with Divisional 		generate student voice.Students attend career fair in Daysland.	

More teachers utilizing Chromecast

Success Stories:

- Read and Write for Google
- Introducing read-to-me from Epic books website
- Audio books with text visible
- Using Google Classroom to keep students caught up when they are absent.

Career Counsellors.

- Using Google Hangout and BridgIt to teach Bio. 30 and Math 31
- Using technology for meaningful learning
- Collaborative learning
- Learning new skills Google Docs and Google Slides, We Video
 Grade 3 peer taught grade 2 Wevideo

Appendices:

Accountability Pillar Overall Summary Annual Education Results Reports - Oct 2019 School: 4503 Bawlf School



	1000		Bawlf School	ol		Alberta			Measure Evaluation	
Measure Category	Measure	Current Result	Prev Year Result	Prev 3 Year Average	Current Result	Prev Year Result	Prev 3 Year Average	Achievement	Improvement	Overall
Safe and Caring Schools	Safe and Caring	92.3	94.2	92.4	89.0	89.0	89.3	Very High	Maintained	Excellent
	Program of Studies	85.3	89.3	86.9	82.2	81.8	81.9	Very High	Maintained	Excellent
Charles Constant	Education Quality	93.0	96.1	94.6	90.2	90.0	90.1	Very High	Maintained	Excellent
Student Learning Opportunities	Drop Out Rate	0.0	0.0	0.1	2.6	2.3	2.9	Very High	Maintained	Excellent
	High School Completion Rate (3 yr)	92.6	88.5	92.4	79.1	78.0	77.5	Very High	Maintained	Excellent
Student Learning Achievement (Grades K-9)	PAT: Acceptable	78.2	84.7	82.8	73.8	73.6	73.6	Intermediate	Maintained	Acceptable
Student Learning Achievement (Grades K-9)	PAT: Excellence	19.1	17.1	19.4	20.6	19.9	19.6	Intermediate	Maintained	Acceptable
	Diploma: Acceptable	72.9	76.6	85.3	83.6	83.7	83.1	Low	Declined	Issue
	Diploma: Excellence	2.9	16.8	20.1	24.0	24.2	22.5	Very Low	Declined Significantly	Concern
Student Learning Achievement (Grades 10-12)	Diploma Exam Participation Rate (4+ Exams)	59.3	61.9	62.4	56.3	55.7	55.1	High	Maintained	Good
	Rutherford Scholarship Eligibility Rate	94.4	60.0	67.7	64.8	63.4	62.2	Very High	Improved Significantly	Excellent
	Transition Rate (6 yr)	69.9	84.0	75.4	59.0	58.7	58.7	Very High	Maintained	Excellent
Preparation for Lifelong Learning, World of Work, Citizenship	Work Preparation	87.6	94.4	91.0	83.0	82.4	82.6	Very High	Maintained	Excellent
	Citizenship	87.4	88.6	87.5	82.9	83.0	83.5	Very High	Maintained	Excellent
Parental Involvement	Parental Involvement	84.6	88.0	87.8	81.3	81.2	81.1	Very High	Maintained	Excellent
Continuous Improvement	School Improvement	83.7	86.2	86.0	81.0	80.3	81.0	Very High	Maintained	Excellent

Notes

- 1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6. Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).
- 2. Overall evaluations can only be calculated if both improvement and achievement evaluations are available.
- 3. Results for the ACOL measures are available in the detailed report: see "ACOL Measures" in the Table of Contents.
- 4. Student participation in the survey was impacted between 2014 and 2017 due to the number of students responding through the OurSCHOOL/TTFM (Tell Them From Me) survey tool.
- Aggregated PAT results are based upon a weighted average of percent meeting standards (Acceptable, Excellence). The weights are the number of students enrolled in each course. Courses included: English Language Arts (Grades 6, 9, 9 KAE), Français (6e et 9e année), French Language Arts (6e et 9e année), Mathematics (Grades 6, 9, 9 KAE), Social Studies (Grades 6, 9, 9 KAE).
- 6. Participation in Provincial Achievement Tests was impacted by the fires in May to June 2016 and May to June 2019. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time for the province and those school authorities affected by these events.
- Aggregated Diploma results are a weighted average of percent meeting standards (Acceptable, Excellence) on Diploma Examinations. The weights are the number of students writing the Diploma Examination for each course. Courses included: English Language Arts 30-1, English Language Arts 30-2, French Language Arts 30-1, Mathematics 30-1, Mathematics 30-2, Chemistry 30, Physics 30, Biology 30, Science 30, Social Studies 30-1.
- 8. Caution should be used when interpreting evaluations and results over time for Mathematics 30-1/30-2, as equating was not in place until the 2016/17 school year. Alberta Education does not comment on province wide trends until it has five years of equated examination data.
- 9. Participation in Diploma Examinations was impacted by the fires in May to June 2016 and May to June 2019. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time for the province and those school authorities affected by these events.
- 10. Weighting of school-awarded marks in diploma courses increased from 50% to 70% in the 2015/16 school year. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time.
- 11.2016 results for the 3-year High School Completion and Diploma Examination Participation Rates have been adjusted to reflect the correction of the Grade 10 cohort.

A. Welcome and Caring

Percentage of teacher, parent and student agreement that: students are safe at school, are learning the importance of caring for others, are learning respect for others and are treated fairly in school.

					Bawlf S	School									Alber	rta				
	201	15	20	16	20	17	20	18	20	19	201	5	201	6	201	7	201	8	201	9
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ň	%	N	%	N	%
Overall	249	88.4	231	91.1	248	91.9	95	94.2	113	92.3	309,172	89.2	284,589	89.5	299,627	89.5	253,494	89.0	265,382	89.0
Parent	21	83.8	22	88.2	28	89.8	14	94.2	27	92.5	32,208	89.3	33,662	89.8	32,868	89.9	35,486	89.4	35,247	89.7
Student	219	83.6	195	86.7	207	86.0	63	88.4	68	84.4	248,089	83.0	220,486	83.4	235,302	83.3	185,384	82.5	196,856	82.3
Teacher	9	97.7	14	98.6	13	100.0	18	100.0	18	100.0	28,875	95.4	30,441	95.4	31,457	95.3	32,624	95.0	33,279	95.1

Percentage of teachers, parents and students who are satisfied that students model the characteristics of active citizenship.

					Bawlf S	School									Albei	ta				
	2015 2016 2017 2018 N % N % N % N % N										201	5	201	6	201	7	201	8	201	9
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Overall	249	79.8	232	87.5	248	86.5	95	88.6	113	87.4	309,506	83.5	284,921	83.9	299,972	83.7	253,727	83.0	265,614	82.9
Parent	21	66.7	22	83.6	28	79.6	14	85.5	27	82.0	32,208	82.1	33,658	82.9	32,863	82.7	35,482	81.7	35,247	81.9
Student	219	79.3	196	78.8	207	79.8	63	82.6	68	80.2	248,422	74.2	220,819	74.5	235,647	74.4	185,623	73.9	197,090	73.5
Teacher	9	93.3	14	100.0	13	100.0	18	97.8	18	100.0	28,876	94.2	30,444	94.5	31,462	94.0	32,622	93.4	33,277	93.2


Percentage of teachers and parents who agree that students are taught attitudes and behaviours that will make them successful at work when they finish school.

					Bawlf S	School									Albe	rta				
	20	15	20	16	20	17	20	18	20	19	201	5	201	6	201	7	201	8	201	9
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Overall	29	76.9	34	95.0	41	83.7	31	94.4	44	87.6	58,549	82.0	61,412	82.6	61,674	82.7	65,186	82.4	66,088	83.0
Parent	20	65.0	20	90.0	28	75.0	13	100.0	26	80.8	30,132	74.2	31,502	74.8	30,828	75.1	33,176	74.6	33,423	75.2
Teacher	9	88.9	14	100.0	13	92.3	18	88.9	18	94.4	28,417	89.7	29,910	90.5	30,846	90.4	32,010	90.3	32,665	90.8

B. Literacy and Numeracy

	Program of Studies	85.3	89.3	86.9	82.2	81.8	81.9	Very High	Maintained	Excellent
	Education Quality	93.0	96.1	94.6	90.2	90.0	90.1	Very High	Maintained	Excellent
Student Learning Opportunities	Drop Out Rate	0.0	0.0	0.1	2.6	2.3	2.9	Very High	Maintained	Excellent
	High School Completion Rate (3 yr)	92.6	88.5	92.4	79.1	78.0	77.5	Very High	Maintained	Excellent

MIPI Results



C. Teaching and Learning 21st Century

Percentage of teachers, parents and students satisfied with the opportunity for students to receive a broad program of studies including fine arts, career, technology, and health and physical education.

					Bawlf 9	School									Albei	rta				
	20	15	20	16	201	17	20	18	20	19	201	5	201	6	201	7	201	8	201	9
	N	96	N	96	N	%	N	%	N	96	N	%	N	96	N	96	N	96	N	96
Overall	172	85.8	155	86.6	176	84.8	71	89.3	92	85.3	216,359	81.3	198,841	81.9	207,304	81.9	175,907	81.8	181,846	82.2
Parent	21	84.9	22	82.6	28	79.2	14	86.1	27	80.3	32,210	79.9	33,656	80.1	32,874	80.1	35,489	79.9	35,252	80.1
Student	142	79.6	119	79.8	135	80.1	39	88.0	47	79.8	155,269	76.9	134,738	77.5	142,957	77.7	107,780	77.2	113,304	77.4
Teacher	9	92.9	14	97.3	13	95.1	18	93.7	18	95.8	28,880	87.2	30,447	88.1	31,473	88.0	32,638	88.4	33,290	89.1

Percentage of teachers, parents and students indicating that their school and schools in their jurisdiction have improved or stayed the same the last three years.

					Bawlf 9	School									Albei	rta				
	20	15	20	16	20	17	20	18	20	19	201	5	201	6	201	7	201	8	201	9
	N	%	N	96	N	%	N	96	N	96	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Overall	249	70.7	230	86.2	246	85.7	94	86.2	112	83.7	305,456	79.6	282,880	81.2	297,632	81.4	251,246	80.3	263,364	81.0
Parent	21	76.2	21	90.5	27	74.1	13	92.3	26	76.9	31,413	78.5	32,786	79.7	31,845	80.8	34,237	79.3	34,159	80.3
Student	219	80.5	195	82.3	208	82.9	63	88.5	68	85.4	247,770	80.7	220,142	81.5	234,964	81.1	185,106	80.2	196,592	79.4
Teacher	9	55.6	14	85.7	13	100.0	18	77.8	18	88.9	26,273	79.8	29,952	82.3	30,823	82.2	31,903	81.5	32,613	83.4

Percentage of teachers and parents satisfied with parental involvement in decisions about their child's education.

					Bawlf :	School									Albei	rta				
	20	15	20	16	20	17	20	18	20	19	201	5	201	6	201	7	201	18	201	9
	N	96	N	96	N	96	N	96	N	%	N	%	N	96	N	96	N	%	N	%
Overall	30	70.6	35	90.0	41	85.5	32	88.0	45	84.6	60,757	80.7	63,739	80.9	63,905	81.2	67,509	81.2	68,116	81.3
Parent	21	59.0	21	80.0	28	75.7	14	77.1	27	75.9	31,919	73.4	33,351	73.5	32,505	73.9	34,998	73.4	34,944	73.6
Teacher	9	82.2	14	100.0	13	95.2	18	98.9	18	93.3	28,838	88.1	30,388	88.4	31,400	88.5	32,511	88.9	33,172	89.0

Percentage of teacher, parent and student satisfaction with the accessibility, effectiveness and efficiency of programs and services for students in their community.

	Bawlf School													Albe	rta											
	2015		2015		2015 2016		2017		2018		2019		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019					
	N	96	N	96	N	%	N	%	N	96	N	%	N	96	N	96	N	96	N	%						
Overall	30	76.4	35	78.6	40	76.7	95	81.3	113	79.2	131,636	71.7	157,921	72.7	159,543	73.2	251,836	72.8	263,978	73.1						
Parent	21	67.8	21	58.3	27	58.6	14	69.8	27	61.5	31,336	59.9	32,772	60.9	31,898	61.6	34,350	61.2	34,371	61.1						
Student	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	63	83.5	68	77.9	71,494	79.7	94,766	80.1	96,258	80.0	184,949	78.9	196,411	78.8						
Teacher	9	84.9	14	98.8	13	94.9	18	90.7	18	98.1	28,806	75.5	30,383	77.2	31,387	78.1	32,537	78.3	33,196	79.3						

Percentage of teachers, parents and students satisfied with the overall quality of basic education.

	Bawlf School												Albei	rta											
	2015		2015 2016		6 2017		2018		2019		2015		2016		2017		2018		201	9					
	N	96	N	96	N	96	N	96	N	96	N	96	N	%	N	96	N	96	N	%					
Overall	247	88.5	232	93.2	251	94.4	95	96.1	113	93.0	310,056	89.5	285,217	90.1	300,253	90.1	254,026	90.0	265,841	90.2					
Parent	21	78.2	22	90.8	28	91.1	14	91.3	27	86.2	32,219	85.4	33,675	86.1	32,880	86.4	35,499	86.0	35,262	86.4					
Student	217	89.2	196	89.9	210	92.2	63	97.1	68	93.6	248,954	87.4	221,094	88.0	235,901	88.1	185,888	88.2	197,282	88.1					
Teacher	9	98.1	14	98.8	13	100.0	18	100.0	18	99.1	28,883	95.9	30,448	96.0	31,472	95.9	32,639	95.8	33,297	96.1					

D: Diploma Examination Results

Diploma Exam Results Course By Course Summary With Measure Evaluation

		Bawlf School								Alberta					
0.5	M		Improvement	Overall	20	119	Prev 3 Ye	ar Average	2019		Prev 3 Year Averag				
Course	Measure				N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%			
English Lang Arts 30-1	Diploma Examination Acceptable Standard	High	Declined	Acceptable	12	91.7	12	100.0	29,832	86.8	30,091	86.9			
English Lang Arts 30-1	Diploma Examination Standard of Excellence	Very Low	Declined	Concern	12	0.0	12	16.9	29,832	12.3	30,091	11.9			
Facility and Adv 20 2	Diploma Examination Acceptable Standard	Low	Maintained	Issue	7	85.7	14	85.7	16,640	87.1	16,563	88.9			
English Lang Arts 30-2	Diploma Examination Standard of Excellence	Very Low	Maintained	Concern	7	0.0	14	7.1	16,640	12.1	16,563	12.3			
French Lang Arts 30-1	Diploma Examination Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,215	91.5	1,296	94.1			
French Lang Arts 30-1	Diploma Examination Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,215	10.1	1,296	9.7			
Emperie 20 4	Diploma Examination Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	139	98.6	154	98.3			
Français 30-1	Diploma Examination Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	139	29.5	154	20.6			
	Diploma Examination Acceptable Standard	*			5	*	8	68.1	19,389	77.8	20,337	73.9			
Mathematics 30-1	Diploma Examination Standard of Excellence	*	*		5	.*	8	19.9	19,389	35.1	20,337	30.6			
Mathematics 30-2	Diploma Examination Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	7	57.1	10	65.2	14,465	76.5	14,107	74.8			
Mathematics 30-2	Diploma Examination Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	7	0.0	10	9.1	14,465	16.8	14,107	16.4			
	Diploma Examination Acceptable Standard	Very High	Maintained	Excellent	8	100.0	11	93.5	21,610	86.6	22,179	85.7			
Social Studies 30-1	Diploma Examination Standard of Excellence	Very Low	Declined	Concern	8	0.0	11	13.2	21,610	17.0	22,179	15.6			
Social Studies 30-2	Diploma Examination Acceptable Standard	Intermediate	Maintained	Acceptable	8	87.5	15	90.0	20,758	77.8	20,078	80.2			
Social Studies 30-2	Diploma Examination Standard of Excellence	Very Low	Maintained	Concern	8	0.0	15	6.7	20,758	12.2	20,078	12.6			
Pinton 20	Diploma Examination Acceptable Standard	Very Low	Declined Significantly	Concern	9	22.2	13	85.6	22,442	83.9	22,853	85.3			
Biology 30	Diploma Examination Standard of Excellence	Very Low	Declined Significantly	Concern	9	0.0	13	39.8	22,442	35.5	22,853	33.8			
Chamister 20	Diploma Examination Acceptable Standard	Intermediate	Declined Significantly	Issue	14	71.4	:17	100.0	18,525	85.7	18,929	82.7			
Chemistry 30	Diploma Examination Standard of Excellence	Low	Declined	Issue	14	14.3	17	41.2	18,525	42.5	18,929	37.2			
Dhuring 20	Diploma Examination Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	11	65.3	9,247	87.5	9,974	85.9			
Physics 30	Diploma Examination Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	11	25.0	9,247	43.5	9,974	41.7			
Coinnes 20	Diploma Examination Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	8	87.3	9,676	85.7	9,180	84.9			
Science 30	Diploma Examination Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	8	31.0	9,676	31.2	9,180	29.2			

Notes:


- 1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6. Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).
- Achievement Evaluation is not calculated for courses that do not have sufficient data available, either due to too few jurisdictions offering the course or because of changes in examinations.
- Caution should be used when interpreting evaluations and results over time for Mathematics 30-1/30-2, as equating was not in place until the 2016/17 school year. Alberta Education does not comment on province wide trends until it has five years of equated examination data.
- 4. Participation in Diploma Examinations was impacted by the fires in May to June 2016 and May to June 2019. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time for the province and those school authorities affected by these events.
- 5. A written-response component worth 25% of the total exam mark was added to the Mathematics 30-1/30-2 diploma exams in 2018/19.

E. Provincial Achievement Results

PAT Results Course By Course Summary By Enrolled With Measure Evaluation

			Bawlf School							Alberta				
			Improvement	Overall	20	19	Prev 3 Yea	r Average	2019		Prev 3 Yea	r Average		
Course	Measure				N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
Facility I amount & day &	Acceptable Standard	Intermediate	Declined	Issue	24	83.3	27	92.6	54,820	83.2	49,573	82.9		
English Language Arts 6	Standard of Excellence	Low	Maintained	Issue	24	8.3	27	17.0	54,820	17.8	49,573	19.1		
French Language Arts 6	Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3,559	87.7	3,122	86.0		
<u>année</u>	Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3,559	15.7	3,122	13.3		
Français 6 année	Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	663	90.3	574	92.2		
Trançais o armee	Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	663	24.6	574	20.6		
Mathematics 6	Acceptable Standard	High	Maintained	Good	24	87.5	27	79.7	54,778	72.5	49,502	71.5		
matremates o	Standard of Excellence	Very Low	Maintained	Concern	24	8.3	27	13.6	54,778	15.0	49,502	13.5		
Science 6	Acceptable Standard	Low	Declined	Issue	24	75.0	27	90.4	54,879	77.6	49,520	77.9		
<u>Science o</u>	Standard of Excellence	Intermediate	Declined	Issue	24	20.8	27	35.9	54,879	28.6	49,520	28.9		
Social Studies 6	Acceptable Standard	Intermediate	Declined	Issue	24	75.0	27	89.1	54,802	76.2	49,511	73.1		
Social Studies o	Standard of Excellence	High	Improved	Good	24	25.0	27	13.4	54,802	24.4	49,511	22.3		
English Language Arts 9	Acceptable Standard	Low	Maintained	Issue	23	73.9	23	78.2	47,465	75.1	45,363	76.6		
English Congooge Arts e	Standard of Excellence	Intermediate	Maintained	Acceptable	23	13.0	23	11.1	47,465	14.7	45,363	14.9		
K&E English Language	Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,569	57.4	1,551	58.1		
Arts 9	Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,569	5.4	1,551	6.0		
French Language Arts 9	Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2,811	82.9	2,758	82.5		
<u>année</u>	Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2,811	12.3	2,758	10.6		
Français 9 année	Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	396	88.6	380	86.0		
riançais o armee	Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	396	26.0	380	25.1		
Mathematics 9	Acceptable Standard	Intermediate	Declined	Issue	23	60.9	23	79.3	46,764	60.0	44,959	64.7		
<u>mauremaucs e</u>	Standard of Excellence	High	Maintained	Good	23	21.7	23	22.2	46,764	19.0	44,959	17.1		
K&E Mathematics 9	Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2,190	59.6	2,007	58.7		
NOC Matrieriaucs e	Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2,190	13.2	2,007	13.3		
Science 0	Acceptable Standard	Very High	Maintained	Excellent	23	87.0	23	85.7	47,489	75.2	45,363	74.6		
Science 9	Standard of Excellence	Very High	Maintained	Excellent	23	34.8	23	26.3	47,489	26.4	45,363	22.7		
K&E Science 9	Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,538	61.7	1,520	64.1		
INC. SUPPLEE	Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,538	10.7	1,520	13.3		
Social Studies 9	Acceptable Standard	Very High	Improved	Excellent	23	82.6	23	64.8	47,496	68.7	45,366	66.1		
Godal Studies 8	Standard of Excellence	High	Maintained	Good	23	21.7	23	12.9	47,496	20.6	45,388	19.9		
K&E Social Studies 9	Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,466	55.9	1,501	56.5		
NAC Soudi Studies 9	Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,466	15.0	1,501	12.8		

Notes



^{1.} Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6. Suppression is marked with an asterisk (").

^{2.} Achievement Evaluation is not calculated for courses that do not have sufficient data available, either due to too few jurisdictions offering the course or because of changes in tests.

Participation in Provincial Achievement Tests was impacted by the fires in May to June 2016 and May to June 2019. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time for the province and those school authorities affected by these events.

^{4.} Part A, which requires students to complete number-operation questions without using calculators, was added to Mathematics 6 in 2016/17 and Mathematics 9 in 2017/18, respectively.